## A NEW EFFICIENT SYNTHESIS AND REARRANGEMENTS OF [6] PARACYCLOPHANE

Yoshito TOBE, \* Ken-ichi UEDA, Kiyomi KAKIUCHI, and Yoshinobu ODAIRA
Department of Applied Fine Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering,
Osaka University, Suita, Osaka 565

[6]paracyclophane (1) has been synthesized by oxidative decarboxylation of [6.2.2]propellenecarboxylic acid with lead tetraacetate. Vapor phase thermolysis of 1 affords 3-methylenespiro[5.5]undeca-1,4-diene predominantly, while acid-catalyzed rearrangement of 1 gives the meta and ortho isomers in a ratio of 1:3.

Recently, we and Tochtermann et al. have developed independently new efficient routes to [6]paracyclophane derivatives having substituents on the aromatic ring  $^{1,2a}$  and have found out that the benzene rings of them are highly deformed from planarity ( $\approx 20^{\circ}$ ) by means of their X-ray structure determination  $^{1,2c}$ ) as well as that they show unusual chemical behaviors associated with the above deformation.  $^{1,2b,d}$  Although the parent hydrocarbon 1 was first synthesized a decade ago,  $^{3}$  the inaccesibility of 1 did not allow further investigation of the properties of 1. In this connection, we report here a new efficient synthetic route to 1 based on oxidative decarboxylation of [6.2.2]porpellenecarboxylic acid (2) by lead tetraacetate and thermal and acid-catalyzed rearrangements of 1 in order to clarify the chemical properties of 1.

The acid 2<sup>4)</sup> was prepared by alkaline hydrolysis of the corresponding methyl ester which was derived from bicyclo[6.3.0]undec-1(8)-en-9-one in 30% overall yield in seven steps.<sup>1)</sup> Oxidative decarboxylation of 2 with lead tetraacetate (1.2 equiv.) in boiling benzene in the presence of pyridine (0.5 equiv.) and copper(II) acetate (0.5 equiv.) gave successfully 1 in 39% yield along with the acetate 3 in 21% yield. The structure of 1 was confirmed by the comparison with the reported UV and <sup>1</sup>H NMR data.<sup>3a)</sup> Moreover, 3 was cleanly converted to 1 in 90% yield by treatment with potassium t-butoxide in dimethyl sulfoxide at room temperature. Thus total yield of 1 amounts to 58%.

In order to clarify the chemical properties of [6] paracyclophane system, thermally induced and acid-catalyzed rearrangements of 1, were examined.<sup>5)</sup>

Thermolysis of 1 under nitrogen flow at 400 °C gave the spiro triene 4 predominantly (90%), via cleavage of the bridge at the benzyl position, together with a small amount of a few unidentified minor products. 6) Moreover, acid-catalyzed rearrangement of  $\frac{1}{2}$  with trifluoroacetic acid in chloroform took place smoothly to afford [6] metacyclophane (5) 7) and the ortho isomer 6 quantitatively in a ratio of Under the above conditions, 5 remained unchanged indicating that 6 is not formed via 5 but by successive 1,2-migration of the bridge, although isomerization of 5 to 6 also occurred with trifluoromethanesulfonic acid as a catalyst. 9)

$$1 - \frac{1}{N_2 \text{ flow}} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ -H^{\dagger} \downarrow \uparrow H^{\dagger} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow \\ 0 \end{array} \right) - H^{\dagger} \left( \begin{array}{c} \downarrow$$

## References

- 1) Y. Tobe, K. Kakiuchi, Y. Odaira, T. Hosaki, Y. Kai, and N. Kasai, J. Am. Chem.
- 1) Y. Tobe, K. Kakiuchi, Y. Odaira, I. Hosaki, Y. Kai, and N. Kasai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 105, 1376 (1983).

  2) a) J. Liebe, C. Wolff, and W. Tochtermann, Tetrahedron Lett., 23, 171 (1982); b) J. Liebe, C. Wolff, and W. Tochtermann, ibid., 23, 2439 (1982); c) C. Krieger, J. Liebe, and W. Tochtermann, ibid., 24, 707 (1983); d) J. Liebe, W. Tochtermann, and J. Weiss, ibid., 24, 2549 (1983).

  3) a) V. V. Cane, A. D. Wolf, and M. Jones, Jr., J. Am. Chem. Soc., 96, 2643 (1974); b) S. L. Kammula, L. D. Iroff, M. Jones, Jr., J. W. van Straten, W. H. de Wolf, and F. Bickelhaupt, ibid., 99, 5815 (1977).

  4) All new compounds gave satisfactory analytical and spectral data. Selected data for 1, 3, and 4 are as follows:
- - All new compounds gave satisfactory analytical and spectral data. Selected data for 1, 3, and 4 are as follows:

    1: 13C NMR (CDC13) & 143.30 (s), 131.75 (d), 36.45 (t), 35.96 (t), 26.76 (t).

    3: 1H NMR (CDC13) & 0.7-2.5 (15H, m, s at 1.97), 2.48 (1H, dd, J=17 and 6 Hz), 2.87 (1H, dt, J=17 and 2 Hz), 5.68 (1H, dd, J=6 and 2 Hz), 5.98 (2H, s), 13C NMR (CDC13) & 170.21 (s), 136.60 (s), 131.26 (d), 128.47 (d), 121.28 (d), 85.27 (s), 37.53 (t), 36.06 (t), 33.32 (t), 29.40 (t), 28.82 (t), 27.40 (t), 26.71 (t), 22.51 (q).

    4: 1H NMR (CDC13) & 1.1-1.8 (10H, m), 4.77 (2H, s), 5.90, 6.15 (4H, ABq, J=10 Hz).
  - Hz).
- 5) At the completion of this work, Professor Bickelhaupt informed us that he has 5) At the completion of this work, Professor Bickelhaupt informed us that he has also examined the thermal and acid-catalyzed rearrangements of 1; private communication and J. W. van Straten, Thesis, Vrije University, Amsterdam, 1978.
  6) Thermolysis of the higher homologues of 4 has been shown to afford [7]- and [8]paracyclophanes; J. W. van Straten, W. H. de Wolf, and F. Bickelhaupt, Recl. Trav. Chem. Pays-Bas, 96, 88 (1977).
  7) Identified with an authentic sample; S. Hirano, H. Hara, T. Hiyama, S. Fujita, and H. Nozaki, Tetrahedron, 31, 2219 (1975).
  8) Isomerization of 1 to 5 and 6 (1:3) was also catalyzed by aluminum chloride in ether

- 9) Acid-catalyzed rearrangement of [7]paracyclophane gave the meta isomer; K. L. Noble, H. Hopf, M. Jones, Jr., and S. L. Kammula, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl., 17, 602 (1978); and that of [5]metacyclophane afforded the ortho isomer; L. A. M. Turkenburg, W. H. de Wolf, and F. Bickelhaupt, Tetrahedron Lett., 24, 1817 (1983).